

# The Sun

SUNDAY, FEBRUARY 25, 1900.

Subscriptions by Mail, Postpaid.

DAILY, per Month	\$0.50
DAILY, per Year	6.00
DAILY and SUNDAY, per Year	8.00
DAILY and SUNDAY, per Month	.80

Foreign postage extra.

THE SUN, New York City.

Printed at the Sun Building, No. 12, Grand Street, New York City.

Copyright, 1900, by The Sun Publishing Company.

Published for the Proprietor by The Sun Publishing Company.

Printed at the Sun Building, No. 12, Grand Street, New York City.

Published for the Proprietor by The Sun Publishing Company.

Printed at the Sun Building, No. 12, Grand Street, New York City.

Published for the Proprietor by The Sun Publishing Company.

Printed at the Sun Building, No. 12, Grand Street, New York City.

Published for the Proprietor by The Sun Publishing Company.

Printed at the Sun Building, No. 12, Grand Street, New York City.

Published for the Proprietor by The Sun Publishing Company.

Printed at the Sun Building, No. 12, Grand Street, New York City.

Published for the Proprietor by The Sun Publishing Company.

Printed at the Sun Building, No. 12, Grand Street, New York City.

Published for the Proprietor by The Sun Publishing Company.

Printed at the Sun Building, No. 12, Grand Street, New York City.

Published for the Proprietor by The Sun Publishing Company.

Printed at the Sun Building, No. 12, Grand Street, New York City.

Published for the Proprietor by The Sun Publishing Company.

Printed at the Sun Building, No. 12, Grand Street, New York City.

Published for the Proprietor by The Sun Publishing Company.

Printed at the Sun Building, No. 12, Grand Street, New York City.

Published for the Proprietor by The Sun Publishing Company.

Printed at the Sun Building, No. 12, Grand Street, New York City.

Published for the Proprietor by The Sun Publishing Company.

Printed at the Sun Building, No. 12, Grand Street, New York City.

Published for the Proprietor by The Sun Publishing Company.

Printed at the Sun Building, No. 12, Grand Street, New York City.

Published for the Proprietor by The Sun Publishing Company.

Printed at the Sun Building, No. 12, Grand Street, New York City.

Published for the Proprietor by The Sun Publishing Company.

Printed at the Sun Building, No. 12, Grand Street, New York City.

Published for the Proprietor by The Sun Publishing Company.

Printed at the Sun Building, No. 12, Grand Street, New York City.

Published for the Proprietor by The Sun Publishing Company.

Printed at the Sun Building, No. 12, Grand Street, New York City.

Published for the Proprietor by The Sun Publishing Company.

Printed at the Sun Building, No. 12, Grand Street, New York City.

Published for the Proprietor by The Sun Publishing Company.

Printed at the Sun Building, No. 12, Grand Street, New York City.

Published for the Proprietor by The Sun Publishing Company.

Printed at the Sun Building, No. 12, Grand Street, New York City.

Published for the Proprietor by The Sun Publishing Company.

Printed at the Sun Building, No. 12, Grand Street, New York City.

Published for the Proprietor by The Sun Publishing Company.

Printed at the Sun Building, No. 12, Grand Street, New York City.

Published for the Proprietor by The Sun Publishing Company.

Printed at the Sun Building, No. 12, Grand Street, New York City.

Published for the Proprietor by The Sun Publishing Company.

Printed at the Sun Building, No. 12, Grand Street, New York City.

Published for the Proprietor by The Sun Publishing Company.

Printed at the Sun Building, No. 12, Grand Street, New York City.

Published for the Proprietor by The Sun Publishing Company.

Printed at the Sun Building, No. 12, Grand Street, New York City.

Published for the Proprietor by The Sun Publishing Company.

Printed at the Sun Building, No. 12, Grand Street, New York City.

Published for the Proprietor by The Sun Publishing Company.

Printed at the Sun Building, No. 12, Grand Street, New York City.

Published for the Proprietor by The Sun Publishing Company.

Printed at the Sun Building, No. 12, Grand Street, New York City.

Published for the Proprietor by The Sun Publishing Company.

Printed at the Sun Building, No. 12, Grand Street, New York City.

Published for the Proprietor by The Sun Publishing Company.

Printed at the Sun Building, No. 12, Grand Street, New York City.

Published for the Proprietor by The Sun Publishing Company.

be built permanently into our national and international policies is too monstrous. It cannot be accepted by the country.

## Cornell University.

That the institution founded by Ezra Cornell is entitled to the name of university in the highest sense of the word, both on the score of the scope of the studies pursued and of the breadth of territory from which its students are drawn, will be manifest to those who inspect its Register for the academic year 1899-1900. Cornell University includes besides an academic department or college proper and a graduate department open to candidates for advanced degrees, a college of law, a medical college, a college of civil engineering, the Sibley college of mechanical engineering, a college of agriculture, a college of architecture, a State college of veterinary medicine and a State college of forestry. It will be observed that every department for which a place is found in the most comprehensive of European universities, except a school of theology, has a counterpart at Cornell. The number of students in the two engineering colleges is 754; in the academic and graduate departments, 834; in the medical college, 328; in the college of law, 177, and in the four other departments, 165. After a deduction made for the names counted twice, we arrive at a total number of 2,240 students. Of these, more than half, 1,394, come from New York; but Pennsylvania sends 157; Ohio, 99; New Jersey, 88; Illinois, 67, and Massachusetts, 45. Thirty-six other States, besides the Territory of New Mexico and the District of Columbia, contribute to the aggregate. Canada sends 21 and thirteen foreign countries are represented in the list. Evidently, Cornell may claim to be a *studium generale*, if ever there was one. We add that the number of professors, assistant professors and teachers, exclusive of the library staff and other officers, is 350, an astonishing number when the youth of the institution is considered.

What are the requirements for admission to the college proper, to the engineering schools and to the law and medical departments? This will be at once recognized as a vital question by those who desire to gauge the values of Cornell degrees. We may begin by observing that a candidate for the degree of Bachelor of Arts at Cornell need not offer Greek or even Latin at his entrance examination. The requirements are an unusually thorough knowledge of English literature, an acquaintance with physiology and hygiene; a knowledge of English and American history, or of the history of Greece to the death of Alexander, and of the history of Rome to the accession of Commodus, and a knowledge of plane geometry and of elementary algebra. In addition, an applicant must be prepared for examination in, first, Greek and Latin; or, secondly, Latin and advanced French or advanced German; or, thirdly, in advanced French, advanced German and advanced mathematics, an alternative for advanced mathematics being a certain knowledge of physics, chemistry, anatomy, geology, or zoology. It follows that a young man may obtain a degree of Bachelor of Arts at Cornell and yet be totally ignorant of both of the classical languages. Under such circumstances, the degree named seems indistinguishable from that of Bachelor of Science in certain other universities.

Such being the conditions of entrance into the college proper, we are not surprised that not even a knowledge of Latin is required for admission to the schools which have in view the degrees of civil engineer and mechanical engineer. Indeed in the colleges of civil and mechanical engineering, an applicant need pass no examination at all, provided he can present a diploma issued by the Regents of the University of the State of New York, or a certificate of graduation from an approved school. We turn to the college of law, and find that one may be admitted to it who can meet the requirements for entrance into the college proper; nay, any one who can furnish a certificate from an approved high school or academy is eligible for the course of study which has in view the degree of Bachelor of Laws. As for the medical school, it appears that an applicant for admission need only file with the executive officer of the faculty a Regents' medical student's certificate, which is granted as a result of examinations, or on evidence of four years' satisfactory high school work or its equivalent.

On the other hand, we are constrained to say that it is easier for the college proper, or the law and medical schools of Cornell University than it is to gain admission to the corresponding departments in any other distinguished seat of higher learning in the Eastern States. We should add that, after admission to the college proper, there are no prescribed courses of instruction, but a freshman's range of choice is confined within a definite list of studies and courses. Juniors and seniors, however, are allowed, with the consent of the faculty, to elect studies in other departments of the university, which shall count toward graduation in the college proper, but the sum total of hours thus elected must not exceed nine hours per week in any term. It is, of course, understood that, if a candidate for the A. B. degree offers Latin and Greek for admission, and elects to pursue the same studies thereafter, he will find at Cornell every facility for the prosecution of them with success. Thus, in Greek, the work of the freshman year is directed toward the cultivation of the ability of reading at sight, while that of the sophomore year aims at giving the student some acquaintance with the scope and meaning of Greek literature and with the characteristics of Greek thought. In Latin, it is satisfactory to learn that the purpose of the instructors is to teach students to read Latin understandingly and rapidly without translating, which was, of course, an aptitude acquired in medieval times when Latin was still a spoken tongue as well as the universal medium of written communication. Those who gain the power of reading Latin as a Roman would be helped to secure a large acquaintance with Latin literature, with Roman history and with Roman private life.

Let us look next at the aid given to indigent, but deserving students. We note in the first place that, for graduates, there are thirteen university fellowships, besides two fellowships in modern history and in political and social science, three in philosophy, two in political economy, two in Greek and Latin and one in American history. The annual value of these fellowships is from \$500 to \$900. There are also seventeen graduate scholarships, each having the annual value of \$500. What are known as honorary fellowships are open only to persons already holding the degree of Doctor of Philosophy; they carry no emoluments, but exempt from tuition fees. As regards the assistance offered to under-

graduates, the Superintendent of Public Instruction is by law empowered to award annually a number of free scholarships in Cornell University, equal to the number of Assembly districts in the State. These scholarships entitle the holder to free tuition for four years. There are also thirty-six undergraduate scholarships established by the university, each giving an annual income of \$200. The F. W. Paducham scholarship is worth \$150, and the Alumnae scholarship, which, as its name implies, is awarded to a young woman, is worth \$100 a year. There are, likewise, a good many prizes, ranging in value from twenty-five to one hundred dollars.

We should point out, finally, that the annual tuition fee in the academic and scientific departments, in the law school and in the forestry and veterinary schools is \$100; the fee in the medical college is half as large again. There are incidental fees of \$5 per term in the mechanical engineering school and in the agricultural school to cover the cost of materials used. Altogether, the yearly expense of a student at Cornell University are computed at from three hundred to five hundred dollars, but it probably would be difficult to bring them within the first-named figure.

## Raleigh's Lost Colony.

It is asserted by Mr. BELLAMY, a Representative in Congress from one of the eastern districts of North Carolina, that among his constituents are descendants of the colony founded by Sir Walter Raleigh on Roanoke Island in 1587, a colony of which all traces are supposed generally to have been lost. If the assertion could be supported by conclusive proof it would solve one of the most perplexing problems connected with early American history, a problem which both Ruxwold and Hildreth have regarded as insoluble.

Before noting the grounds on which Mr. BELLAMY's assertion is based, let us recall the circumstances under which the Roanoke settlement was made. After the death of Sir Humphrey Gilbert on his return from a second voyage to America, the scheme of colonization was taken up by his half-brother, Walter Raleigh, who, in 1584, obtained from Queen Elizabeth a patent constituting him a Lord Proprietor over an extensive region on the Atlantic coast of North America. In the same year he despatched a small exploring expedition which visited Roanoke Island and made a flattering report of the resources of the neighboring country. Two natives volunteered to accompany this expedition on its return to England, and one of them, named Manteo, became subsequently useful as an interpreter. In the following year Raleigh, having been knighted and having had his patent confirmed by act of Parliament, fitted out seven vessels carrying 108 colonists, all adult males, to the shores of the land which, although then christened Virginia, was subsequently to be known as Carolina. In this expedition took part more than one man of merit who is still remembered. The little fleet was commanded by Sir Richard Grenville, and he was accompanied by CAVENDISH, who, soon after, circumnavigated the globe; by HARRIS, the inventor of the system of notation in modern algebra; and by the historian of the expedition, by WHITE, a painter, who took home sketches of the natives and of their mode of life, and by RALPH LANE, afterward knighted and at this time designated as Governor of the colony. This attempt to establish a settlement was unsuccessful; in 1586, the colonists prevailed upon Sir Francis Drake, who anchored off Roanoke Island, then homeward bound from the West Indies, to take them back to England. Soon after their departure, Sir Richard Grenville appeared with supplies, and unwilling that the English should lose possession of the country, left fifteen men on Roanoke Island.

Undismayed by the losses thus far incurred, Sir Walter Raleigh persisted in his determination to plant an agricultural State in the New World. To that end he granted a charter for a settlement and a municipal government for "the City of Raleigh," appointed JOHN WHITE its Governor, and to him, with eleven assistants, intrusted the administration of the colony. In July of 1587 the third, or rather, if we count GRENVILLE's second voyage, the fourth, expedition reached the coast of North Carolina and hastened to the island of Roanoke to search for the handful of men whom Grenville had left there as a garrison. The fort built by LANE, Governor of the first abortive colony, was in ruins and not a vestige of surviving life appeared. According to the information secured by Manteo, the Indian interpreter, the fifteen men left by GRENVILLE had been slain by some of the neighboring Indians. On the site, however, of the ruined fort, which had been built at the northern extremity of the island, the foundations of the city of Raleigh were laid. From the outset, the new settlement was much harassed by the savages, who had been rendered hostile by acts of violence committed by LANE and GRENVILLE. The mother and the kindred welcomed the English to the island of Croatan, and Manteo, himself, after receiving Christian baptism, was by RALPH LANE's command invested with the rank of Baron, as the Lord of Roanoke. With a returning ship, WHITE, the Governor, on Aug. 27, 1587, embarked for England, took care to reinforce the supplies; nine days before his departure his daughter, ELEANOR DARE, the wife of one of his assistants, gave birth to a female child, the first offspring of English parents born on the soil of the United States. The colony which WHITE left behind him was composed of eighty-nine men, seventeen women and a certain number of children, computed by BANCROFT at two, and by HILDRETH at seven.

When WHITE reached England, he found his attention absorbed by threats of an invasion from Spain. Raleigh managed, however, to fit out WHITE with two ships, but, stopping to cruise for Spanish prizes, one of these vessels was itself boarded and rifled, and both were compelled to return. Other vessels prepared for the same purpose were pressed into the public service, for the Spanish Armada was at hand. After all dread of invasion was over, RALPH LANE strenuously efforts to relieve his colonists, and, being himself impoverished, made an assignment under his patent to a company. Even then, some delay occurred in sending out assistance, and it was not until the autumn of 1590 that three vessels, laden with supplies and having WHITE on board, reached the Carolina coast. Not one of the colonists who had been left on Roanoke Island three years before was discovered anywhere. The site of the settlement was still enclosed by a strong palisade, but a number of articles, broken and scattered, suggested the idea of violence and plunder. From the fact that the word "Croatan" was found carved on a tree, it was inferred that the colonists, or some

of them, might have gone to the island of that name in the neighborhood. But before search could be made a storm arose and the masters of the vessels, afraid to remain longer on so dangerous a coast, set sail for England. Nothing afterward was ever heard of the unfortunate colony. RALPH LANE himself long cherished the hope of discovering some traces of their existence, and no fewer than five times, it is said, sent at his own charge to search for his legions of the Roanoke Colony.

Long ago, the conjecture was ventured that the English settlers on the island of Roanoke, finding themselves deserted by their countrymen, accepted hospitable adoption into a tribe of Hatteras Indians, perhaps the kinsmen of Manteo, the interpreter, who inhabited Croatan Island. According to Mr. BELLAMY the conjecture is well founded. He finds evidence of the adoption in the fact that the Croatan Indians, who may be met with in three or four of the eastern counties of North Carolina, have blue eyes, although their other physical characteristics are those of the aborigines. Many of them, it seems, bear English names, such as SANDWICH, BUNNY and WILKINSON, which names are said to have been in the tribe for generations. We are also told that their ancestors could read from books, and Mr. BELLAMY assures us that they evince a capacity and an eagerness for education that suggests the infusion of white blood. The intermixture of white blood, however, could be accounted for without the assumption that it was derived from survivors of the Raleigh Colony. From the foundation of Jamestown in 1607 up to the creation of the colony of Carolina in 1693-67, there is known to have been a certain overflow from Virginia across what afterward became the North Carolina border. This overflow was made up largely, if not wholly, of outlaws and fugitive indentured servants; and that emigrants of this kind would, for their own safety, seek adoption into Indian tribes and intermarry with the native women is a reasonable hypothesis.

Upon this theory all the facts adduced by Mr. BELLAMY are explicable, and it is hard to believe that survivors of the Raleigh Colony, if there were any, could not have made known their existence to their fellow countrymen who were not very distant from the settlement of Jamestown. We are not aware that any reference to the existence of such survivors of Raleigh's Colony has ever been found in the records of the Virginia settlements during the first half century following their establishment. It is possible, however, that no exhaustive search of the early Virginia or North Carolina records has been made with this particular inquiry in view, and the curious data brought to our notice by Mr. BELLAMY would justify a careful examination of the subject by trained scientific investigators.

The Missouri Decision as to the Department Store Tax.

The Supreme Court of Missouri is the latest judicial tribunal to sit hard upon socialist legislation enacted in defiance of justice. Nothing has served during the past two years to inspire greater confidence in the permanence of our institutions and the ability of the American people to govern themselves wisely than the recent series of decisions in Territorial, State and Federal courts nullifying the attempts of legislative bodies to destroy business and property.

The case before the Supreme Court of Missouri was what was known as the Department Store law of the State, which was enacted in May of last year. It represented the endeavor of the Missouri Legislature to wipe out department stores, such enterprises being condemned as monopolistic and harmful. It divided merchandise into twenty-three groups or classes and provided that no person or corporation should sell at retail any more than one of these several groups or classes without having first obtained a license so to do. The application of the act was limited to cities of 50,000 inhabitants. License Commissioners were established, with power to fix the license fees at not less than \$300 or more than \$500 for each class or group specified. Of this fee two-thirds was to go into the city treasury and one-third into the State treasury.

A merchant in the city of St. Joseph declined to pay the license fee, and the Circuit Court of the State, from which he first sought relief, sustained his refusal and issued a mandamus compelling the commissioners to give a license to him. The Supreme Court, to which the State appealed the case, upheld the Circuit Court in every particular. The lawyers for the State maintained, to begin with, that the measure was of a police character. But the Court pointed out that the law nowhere attempted to protect any public interest or guard against any public wrong. It showed upon its face that regulation was not its purpose, and that restriction in the interests of a portion of the community was the real end in view. The following clause from the Court's decision ought to be placed in the Legislature of every Western State:

"In order to sustain legislation of the character of the act in question as a police measure, the Court must be able to see that its object is some degree of control over the prevention of some offense or evil, or the promotion of some public interest, or the protection of the public health, morals, safety or welfare. If no such object is discernible, but the mere guise and masquerade of public control, under the name of an act to regulate business and trade, is adopted, that the liberty and property rights of the citizens may be invaded, the Court will strike down the act as unwarranted. No legislative assumption of the right to control and regulate the business and trade of the citizen shall flow from the attempt to abridge or hamper his right to pursue any lawful calling or occupation which he may choose without unreasonable regulation or molestation, have ever been condemned in all free governments."

The Court further declared that the Department Store law plainly violated the provisions contained in the Constitution of Missouri regarding the power of the Legislature to enforce taxation for public purposes, and that it was vitally defective in that it made a great irregularity of taxation possible under it. While the act provided that the license fee collected should be the same in each city, it did not provide that the tax in all cities should be the same; that is, it left the License Commissioners in St. Louis to impose another, all of which was clearly unconstitutional. Under the law the business of one city might be ruined, while that of another city would be correspondingly benefited. Many other details of the law were in obvious conflict with the Constitutional limitations of uniformity and clear definition. The Supreme Court, however, stated that the chief objection to the law was that it attempted to deprive citizens of their property without due process of law. Such, certainly, was the case when any particular person or class of the community was singled out for the imposition of restraints or burdens not imposed upon all of the class or by the community at large. While the Legislature had the right to lay taxes that might become very oppressive, it could not create "flat classes," to whom alone special legislation should be applicable. The arbitrary bestowal upon certain persons and corporations in certain cities of licenses to sell merchandise was, the Court held, intolerable and was simply "classification run wild."

All of the Judges of the Supreme Court of Missouri concurred in this flatfooted and in every way gratifying decision.

Woman Against Woman.

The advocates of woman suffrage are now encountering more than ever before a form of opposition against which it is hardest to contend. The willingness of men to extend the suffrage to women would have been manifest long ago in practical measures granting them the privilege if it had not been for the stout resistance by women themselves, and the success of those feminine opponents in the past has emboldened them now to redouble their efforts whenever the project is waged by their suffrage sisters on Congress or the Legislatures of States.

As a consequence of such feminine opposition, the House of the Massachusetts Legislature, on Tuesday, rejected a bill for municipal woman suffrage by the great majority of 124 to 32. Two other woman suffrage bills now before that Legislature, whether they will pass or not, are of doubtful issue. It may be assumed that the same fate, for the organized and determined opposition to them all has been stronger and more persistent than ever before. The same is true with regard to the proposition as made to Congress.

Obviously so long as the great majority of women cry out against the imposition of such a burden on them men will not disregard their wishes, and every attempt of the woman suffragists to accomplish their purpose has made it manifest in all the other States that there is such a majority against them. Instead, therefore, of continuing to bring to bear their influence on Legislatures it has become necessary for them to turn all their energies on women themselves in order, if possible, to educate their sisters at least to toleration of the franchise, though it cannot be denied that, so far, many years of agitation have rather increased than overcome it.

Hereditary bards know no law. Who would be free, themselves must strike the blow!

The Best "Man."

From time to time THE SUN has presented the arguments that chance to point to this or that individual as the most remarkable "man" among men. JOHN L. SULLIVAN was a wonderful man. SOLOMON DEXTER, or GEORGE DEXTER, or, before that, THOMAS SAYERS, BANCROFT of Vre was a wonderful man. LITTLEWOOD, who holds the record for six days' going as one places, belonged to the same class. CAPT. WEBB was another. So is SANDWICH. The list of physical marvels is long and extremely varied. But last Friday night there appeared in New York an aspirant for the very top place of all among them in the person of JOSEPH WALCOTT.

This 5 feet 12 inches of length and 140 pounds of weight of negro outwrestled JOSEPH CHOYNSKI, a Polish Jew, who has nearly reached the very first class among heavyweight wrestlers. CHOYNSKI, but a little short of 170 pounds in weight and not far from 6 feet tall, a man of beautiful physical form and quality, a most accomplished boxer, and, as a fighter, as game as he is practised. But the little knot of African blood, as though it was a stone with outstretched arms, and in return gained blows on poor CHOYNSKI with such crushing vigor and frightening rapidity that in less than one-third of the time allowed for the contest the larger man was utterly beaten.

When CHARLES MITCHELL, the British heavyweight, an artist of great skill and power, first came to this country some years ago, the lightweight BILLY EDWARDS thought that his own unusual ability to hit and great spryness on foot would enable him to beat MITCHELL; and so the match was made. In that case it took but a few moments for the bulldog to eat the terrier. WALCOTT was scarcely bigger compared to CHOYNSKI than EDWARDS was in comparison with MITCHELL; yet in WALCOTT's affair the terrier ate the bulldog. The little man won overwhelmingly.

As a human being of metallic dullness of the nerves as to pain or shock, yet of the very highest nervous organization as to muscular strength, and keen intelligence in the use of it, WALCOTT is an extremely interesting study.

A single-headed commission, with the Commissioner a man of executive ability and acquainted with forest land-titles rather than a literary expert, the repeal of the prohibition in the Constitution to out down the trees and the substitution of a practical system of dealing with the State's forest lands; the preparation of adequate maps and descriptions of the State forests, and the acquisition by the State of the small holdings enclosed within the boundaries of the State preserve—such are the immediate measures responsible for the effective protection of the Adirondack forest. This is the expert opinion of Mr. GIFFORD PIERCE, a leading authority on forestry in the United States, whose report to Governor ROOSEVELT, printed in another column, merits careful attention.

The contract for the Rapid Transit tunnel has been signed, and Mr. John R. McDermott, contractor, and Mr. Andrew Belmont, capitalist, can divide between themselves in just proportion the credit due for the great pertinacity, daring, persuasiveness and force required for carrying their enterprise through its recent extremely critical stages.

The dog has had his week and has passed from the public vision. The exhibition of the Westminster Kennel Club, just concluded with more than 1,000 competitors flinging Madison Square Garden with their clamor, has been the most successful bench show on record in this city. From the little ornamental pet dogs to the sagacious and hard-working hunters, exhibited in park, there was no class that did not delight the eye of the dog fancier. Almost everywhere the home-bred animals were to be found in the wards. Mr. MARPLES, a visiting British dog expert, expresses his belief that in many classes the American-bred dogs are the equals or superiors of their English cousins. Our cocker and field spaniels are considered better than the same classes on the other side; also our beagles defeat the long and particular attention paid to the breeding of this species by John Bull. Our terriers he ranks lower than the British breeds, but our pointers and setters he places on an equality with them. In general impression Mr. MARPLES declares that the show just ended surpassed any bench show in England and pronounces it "the grandest spectacle in the realm of dogdom."

For many years American dog fanciers have looked to England for types of the best breeds of law. Such, certainly, was the case when any particular person or class of the community was singled out for the imposition of restraints or burdens not imposed upon all of the class or by the community at large. While the Legislature had the right to lay taxes that might become very oppressive, it could not create "flat classes," to whom alone special legislation should be applicable. The arbitrary bestowal upon certain persons and corporations in certain cities of licenses to sell merchandise was, the Court held, intolerable and was simply "classification run wild."

Now, with the increasing interest of all classes of people in high-class dogs, as exemplified by the record-breaking attendance at the show, it seems probable that the American dog will have his day. That there will be an emigration of some of our best dogs to compete on the British benches is already promised.

IN SOUTH AFRICA.

London reports from Paarlberg only come down to Thursday, and though no doubt the British War Office is kept fully informed of what is passing, the British public has to wait for news from the front. The Boers who have been so often reported annihilated or captured are still holding their own, if they have not, as a Berlin despatch asserts, succeeded in escaping from the cordon of British troops by which they had been surrounded.

There is still hope of the situation. According to an official Boer report issued on Wednesday, the Boers at Paarlberg were under Commandant De Wet; those at the Modder River under Commandant Fronzmann, a new name; and though Gen. Cronje reported his loss in the Tuesday fighting, he was not made of the point from which he sent his message. Frontmann, after having been surrounded by the British for five days, cut his way out and joined De Wet on Tuesday, leaving it to be inferred that Gen. Cronje was still within the British cordon. The descriptions of the fighting, whether there are two Boer positions only or three, at Paarlberg the Boers seem to have prepared a strongly entrenched position, and a junction of Transvaal and Free State forces is said to have been effected.

A despatch from the British side at Paarlberg dated yesterday states that 2,000 Boers were reported to be coming to the north of that place, which would imply that some attempt on Lord Roberts's communications was in contemplation, or that a feint was being made to draw off part of the beleaguering cordon so as to give those inclosed in it a chance to break out and join them. But the important point as regards the Boers is that Gen. Cronje's resistance has gained time and enabled a considerable concentration to take place, so restoring the situation to more equal conditions. Meantime the British are feeling the effects of being at a distance from their railway line base of supplies. For weeks there has been no mail, and the Boers have been able to keep the railway line under their feet. This occurred yesterday, only fifteen miles from Cape Town through the removal of several lengths of rail by it is supposed, pro-British sympathizers.

In Natal Gen. Buller seems to be making strenuous efforts to push his way through the Adirondack, but so far unsuccessfully and with considerable loss. According to the War Office announcement Gen. Buller found it impossible to send in complete lists of killed and wounded, owing to the continuous fighting. Whatever preparations, therefore, the Boers may have made for the eventual abandonment of the investment of Ladysmith in case of necessity, they are showing no immediate intention of permitting Gen. Buller to attain his object without fighting, and the loss of officers alone on Thursday is evidence of the tenacity with which they are holding to their positions between the Tugela and the beleaguered town. Gen. Buller's force, who is now in command of the Boer forces, is a small force, but he is a very experienced soldier, and his every resource for defeating the relieving force on the banks of the Tugela as long as possible in consequence of the turn of events in the west.

There has been some fighting near Arundel, between the Boers under Gen. Delarier and the British under Gen. Clements, at which a Pretoria despatch says, the British were eventually repulsed. From Dordrecht it is reported that the Colonial Boers who had joined the invading Free States have opened negotiations with Gen. Brabant with a view to submission. Sir Alfred Milner, it is said, is offering lenient terms. The Boers, on the other hand, refuse the offer of relief, but give the curious information that the Boers had formed four regiments of natives to keep up the blockade, while their force opposing Col. Plumer at Gaberones had been reinforced from Mafeking. This employment of natives by the Boers is probably the only relic of Boer's employment of Kaffir auxiliaries, who were sent against them two months ago.

THE SUN correspondent with Lord Roberts sends an interesting account of the pursuit and surrounding of Gen. Cronje's force.

The Full Case of Puerto Rico.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE SUN.—Sir: From the viewpoint of "power" instead of "justice" there is no room for legal doubt that Puerto Rico is not within the Constitutional provision of uniformity of taxation which applies only to fully organized "States."

This is a representative, not a popular Government, and the Congress, as the representative of the people, has plenary power over all the territorial acquisitions within the sovereignty of the United States. It alone can determine the acquisition of the territory of Puerto Rico; it alone can determine its status with reference to any or all of the provisions of the Constitution.

There is nothing obligatory upon the Congress to apply and strictly enforce all of its powers. Some may only be used without derogation of the rights of the people. The privileges within the gift of the Congress, one or more may be given from time to time, leaving the others to be given as may seem wise and right.

A broad view seems to show that now is a proper time for the Congress to give to Puerto Rico the privilege of free trade with the States.

## RAISING CATTLE ON THE CONGO.